

US011921069B1

(12) United States Patent

Vinegar et al.

(54) DETERMINATION OF FLUID-PHASE-SPECIFIC PETROPHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF GEOLOGICAL CORE FOR OIL, WATER AND GAS PHASES

- (71) Applicants: Vinegar Technologies LLC, Bellaire, TX (US); William Marsh Rice University, Houston, TX (US)
- Inventors: Eva Vinegar, Bellaire, TX (US); Philip M. Singer, Richmond, TX (US); George J. Hirasaki, Bellaire, TX (US); Zeliang Chen, Houston, TX (US); Xinglin Wang, Houston, TX (US); Harold J. Vinegar, Bellaire, TX (US)
- (73) Assignee: Vinegar Technologies LLC, West Lake Hills, TX (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 201 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 17/224,093
- (22) Filed: Apr. 6, 2021

Related U.S. Application Data

- (60) Provisional application No. 63/005,482, filed on Apr. 6, 2020.
- (51) Int. Cl. *G01N 24/08* (2006.01) *E21B 7/04* (2006.01)

(Continued)

- (58) Field of Classification Search
 CPC .. G01N 24/081; G01N 15/088; G01N 24/082;
 E21B 7/046; E21B 49/02
 See application file for complete search history.

(10) Patent No.: US 11,921,069 B1 (45) Date of Patent: Mar. 5, 2024

(45) Date of Fatent. Mar. 5, 20

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2012/0092007	A1 *	4/2012	Li	G01N 24/081
				324/306
2015/0153433	A1*	6/2015	Paulsen	G01N 24/081
				324/309

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

GB	2542406 A *	3/2017	G01N 15/088
WO	WO-2012123863 A2 *	9/2012	G01N 24/081
WO	WO-2017151928 A1 *	9/2017	E21B 47/0002

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Hirasaki, G. J., Rohan, J. A., and J. W. Dudley. "Interpretation of Oil-Water Relative Permeabilities from Centrifuge Experiments." SPE Advanced Technology Series 3 (1995): 66-75. doi: https://doi.org/10.2118/24879-PA (Year: 1995).*

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Michael J Logie

Assistant Examiner — Christopher J Gassen

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Momentum IP; Marc Van Dyke

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The following invention is used for determining the relative permeability of a fluid in a rock for three different phases: water, oil, and gas, in both conventional and unconventional formations. The permeability of a phase describes how much it can flow in porous media given a pressure gradient and is useful in evaluating reservoir quality and productivity. The following invention is a method to determine the three-phase relative permeabilities in both conventional and unconventional formations using NMR restricted diffusion measurements on core with NMR-active nuclei, combined with centrifugation of the core. In addition, the tortuosity, pore size (surface-to-volume ratio), fluid-filled porosity, and permeability is determined for each of the three phases in a rock.

13 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets



(51) Int. Cl. *G01N 15/08* (2006.01) *E21B 49/02* (2006.01)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2015/0168588	A1*	6/2015	Vinegar	G01V 3/38
			-	702/7
2015/0184500	A1	7/2015	Vinegar et al.	
2015/0210917	A1	7/2015	Vinegar et al.	
2015/0329785	A1	11/2015	Vinegar et al.	
2021/0285902	A1*	9/2021	Elsayed	G01V 3/32

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Tinni, A., Odusina, E., Sulucarnain, I., Sondergeld, C., and C. S. Rai. "Nuclear-Magnetic-Resonance Response of Brine, Oil, and Methane in Organic-Rich Shales." SPE Res Eval & Eng 18 (2015):

400-406. doi: https://doi.org/10.2118/168971-PA (Year: 2015).*

Saraf, D.N., and I. Fatt. "Three-Phase Relative Permeability Measurement Using a Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Technique for Estimating Fluid Saturation." SPE J. 7 (1967): 235-242. doi: https://doi.org/10.2118/1760-PA (Year: 1967).*

Chen, Z.; Singer, P. M.; Jun, K.; Vargas, F. P.; Hirasaki, G. J.; Jun, K.; Vargas, F. P.; Hirasaki, G. J. Effects of Bitumen Extraction on the 2D NMR Response of Saturated Kerogen Isolates. Petrophysics 2017, 58, 470-484.

Fleury, M.; Romero-Sarmiento, M. Characterization of Shales Using T1-T2 NMR Maps. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering 2016, 137, 55-62.

Chen, Z., Singer, P.M., Wang, X., Hirasaki, G.J., Vinegar, H.J., 2019: Evaluation of Light Hydrocarbon Composition, Pore Size, and Tortuosity in Organic-Rich Chalks using NMR Core Analysis and Logging, Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPWLA-2019-K.

Archie, G. E., 2003: The Electrical Resistivity Log as an Aid in Determining Some Reservoir Characteristics. SPE Reprint Series, 146, 9-16.

Krynicki, K.; Green, C. D.; Sawyer, D. W. Pressure and Temperature Dependence of Self-Diffusion in Water. Faraday Discussions of the Chemical Society 1978, 66, 199-208.

Latour, L. L.; Mitra, P. P.; Kleinberg, R. L.; Sotak, C. H. Time-Dependent Diffusion Coefficient of Fluids in Porous Media as a Probe of Surface-to-Volume Ratio. Journal of Magnetic Resonance, Series A 1993, 101, 342-346.

Lo, S.-W.; Hirasaki, G. J.; House, W. V.; Kobayashi, R. Mixing Rules and Correlations of NMR Relaxation Time with Viscosity, Diffusivity, and Gas/Oil Ratio of Methane/Hydrocarbon Mixtures. Society of Petroleum Engineers (SPE) Journal 2002, 7, 1-4.

Minh, C. C.; Crary, S.; Singer, P. M.; Valori, A.; Bachman, N.; Hursan, G. G.; Ma, S. M.; Belowi, A.; Kraishan, G. Determination of Wettability from Magnetic Resonance Relaxation and Diffusion Measurements on Fresh-State Cores. SPWLA 56th Annual Logging Symposium 2015.

Mitchell, J.; Gladden, L. F.; Chandrasekera, T. C.; Fordham, E. J. Low-Field Permanent Magnets for Industrial Process and Quality Control. Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy 2014, 76, 1-60.

Wang, H. J.; Mutina, A.; Kausik, R. High-field Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Observation of Gas Shale Fracturing by Methane Gas. Energy & Fuels 2014, 28, 3638-3644.

Yang, Z.; Hirasaki, G. J.; Appel, M.; Reed, D. A. Viscosity Evaluation for NMR Well Logging of Live Heavy Oils. Petrophysics 2012, 53, 22-37.

Oosting, P. H.; Trappeniers, N. J. Proton Spin-Lattice Relaxation and Self-Diffusion in Methanes. IV. Self-diffusion in methane. Physica 1971, 51, 418-431.

Singer, P. M.; Chen, Z.; Hirasaki, G. J. Fluid Typing and Pore Size in Organic Shale using 2D NMR in Saturated Kerogen. Petrophysics 2016, 57, 604-619. Cotts, R.M., Hoch, M.J.R., Sun, T., Markert, J.T.; 1989: Pulsed Field Gradient Stimulated Echo Methods for Improved NMR Diffusion Measurements in Heterogeneous Systems. J. Magn. Reason. 83(2), 252-266.

Singer, P. M.; Asthagiri, D.; Chapman, W. G.; Hirasaki, G. J. Molecular Dynamics Simulations of NMR Relaxation and Diffusion of Bulk Hydrocarbons and Water. Journal of Magnetic Resonance 2017, 277, 15-24.

Singer, P. M.; Chen, Z.; Alemany, L. B.; Hirasaki, G. J.; Zhu, K.; Xie, Z. H.; Vo, T. D. Interpretation of NMR Relaxation In Bitumen and Organic Shale Using Polymer-Heptane Mixes. Energy & Fuels 2018, 32, 1534-1549.

Singer, P. M.; Asthagiri, D.; Chapman, W. G.; Hirasaki, G. J. NMR Spin-Rotation Relaxation and Diffusion of Methane. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2018, 148.

Singer, P. M.; Asthagiri, D.; Chen, Z.; Valiya Parambathu, A.; Hirasaki, G. J.; Chapman, W. G. Role of Internal Motions and Molecular Geometry on the NMR Relaxation of Hydrocarbons. Journal of Chemical Physics 2018, 148.

Chang, D., Vinegar, H.J., Morriss, C., Straley, C., 1994: Effective Porosity, Producible Fluid and Permeability in Carbonates from NMR Logging, Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPWLA-1994-A.

Valori, A.; Van Den Berg, S.; Ali, F.; Abdallah, W. Permeability Estimation from NMR Time Dependent Methane Saturation Monitoring in Shales. Energy & Fuels 2017, 31, 5913-5925.

Venkataramanan, L.; Song, Y.; Hurlimann, M. D. Solving Fredholm Integrals of the First Kind with Tensor Product Structure in 2 and 2.5 Dimensions. IEEE Transaction on Signal Processing 2002, 50 (5), 1017-1026.

Zielinski, L.; Ramamoorthy, R.; Minh, C. C.; Al Daghar, K. A.; Sayed, R. H.; Abdelaal, A. F., 2010: Restricted Diffusion Effects in Saturation Estimates From 2D Diffusion-Relaxation NMR Maps. Society of Petroleum Engineers.

Wang, X., Singer, P.M., Chen, Z., Hirasaki, G.J., Vinegar, H.J., 2020: A New Method of Estimating Tortuosity and Pore Size in Unconventional Formations using NMR Restricted Diffusion Measurements, Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts.

Wyllie, M. R. J.; Rose, W. D., 1950: Some Theoretical Considerations Related to The Quantitative Evaluation of The Physical Characteristics of Reservoir Rock From Electrical Log Data, Journal of Petroleum Technology., 2, 105-118.

Chen, Z., Singer, P.M., Wang, X., Vinegar, H.J., Nguyen, S.V., Hirasaki, G.J., 2019: NMR Evaluation of Light-Hydrocarbon Composition, Pore Size, and Tortuosity in Organic-Rich Chalks, Petrophysics 60 (06), 771-797.

Webber, J.B.W., 2010 Studies of Nano-Structured Liquids in Confined Geometries and at Surfaces, Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy 56 78-93.

Timur, R., , 1969: Pulsed Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Studies of Porosity, Movable Fluid, and Permeability of Sandstones, Society Petroleum Engineers, SPE-2045-PA.

Yang, K.; Li, M.; Ling, N. N. A.; May, E. F.; Connolly, P. R. J.; Esteban, L.; Clennell, M. B.; Mahmoud, M.; El-Husseiny, A.; Adebayo, A. R.; Elsayed, M. M.; Johns, M. L., 2019: Quantitative Tortuosity Measurements of Carbonate Rocks Using Pulsed Field Gradient NMR, Transport in Porous Media., 130, 847-865.

Zecca, M.; Vogt, S. J.; Connolly, P. R. J.; May, E. F.; Johns, M. L., 2018: NMR Measurements of Tortuosity in Partially Saturated Porous Media. Transport in Porous Media., 125, 271-288.

Tofts, P.S., Lloyd, D., Clark, C.A., Barker, G.J., Parker, G.J.M., McConville, P., Baldock, C., Pope, J.M., 2000 Test Liquids for Quantitative MRI Measurements of Self-Diffusion Coefficient In Vivo, Magn. Reson. Med. 43, 368-374.

Muller-Huber, E., Schon, J., Borner, F., 2016 A Pore Body-Pore Throat-Based Capillary Approach for NMR Interpretation in Carbonate Rocks using the Coates Equation, Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts.

Sander, R.; Pan, Z.; Connell, L. D., 2017: Laboratory Measurement of Low Permeability Unconventional Gas Reservoir Rocks: A Review of Experimental Methods. Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering., 37, 248-279.

(56) **References Cited**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Swanson, B. F., 1981: A Simple Correlation Between Permeabilities and Mercury Capillary Pressures. Society of Petroleum Engineers. Journal of Petroleum Technology., 33, 2498-2504.

Tanner, J. E., 1970: Use of the Stimulated Echo in NMR Diffusion Studies. The Journal of Chemical Physics., 52, 2523-2526.

Souza, A., Carneiro, G., Zielinski, L., Polinski, R., Schwartz, L., Hürlimann, M.D., Boyd, A., Rios, E.H., Camilo dos Santos, B.C., Trevizan, W.A., Machado, F.V., Azeredo, R.B.V., 2013: Permeability Prediction Improvement using 2D NMR Diffusion-T2 Maps, Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPWLA-2013-U.

Straley, C., Rossini, D., Vinegar, H.J., Tutunjian, P., Morriss, C., 1997: Core Analysis by Low-Field NMR, Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPWLA-1997-v38n2a3.

Vinegar, E. G.; Rosenberg, Y. O.; Reznick, I.; Gordin, Y.; Singer, Philip M. S.; Wang, X.; Chen, Z.; Nguyen, S. V.; Li, W.; Bradley, T.; Hirasaki, G. J.; Lake, L. W.; Feinstein, S.; Hatzor, Y. H.; Vinegar, H. J., 2020. What Happens to the Petrophysical Properties of a Dual-Porosity Organic-Rich Chalk During Early-Stage Organic Maturation? Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts.

Singer, J.M., Johnston, L., Kleinberg, R.L., Flaum, C., 1997, Fast NMR Logging for Bound Fluid and Permeability, Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPWLA-1997-YY.

Mitra, P. P.; Sen, P. N., 1992: Effects of Microgeometry and Surface Relaxation on NMR Pulsed-Field-Gradient Experiments: Simple Pore Geometries. Physical Review B., 45, 143-156.

Hirasaki, G. J., Rohan, J. A., Dudley, J. W., 1995: Interpretation of Oil-Water Relative Permeabilities from Centrifuge Experiments. SPE Advanced Technology Series, vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 66-75.

Hidajat, I., Mohanty, K.K., Flaum, M., Hirasaki, G.J., 2004, Study of Vuggy Carbonates Using NMR and X-Ray CT Scanning, SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering, SPE 88995.

Lee, A.L., Ellington, R.T., 1965, Viscosity of n-Decane in the Liquid Phase, Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, 346-348. Glover, P. W. J., 2016: Archie's Law—A Reappraisal. Solid Earth., 7, 1157-1169. Kenyon, W.E., Day, P.I., Straley, C., Willemsen, J.F., 1988: A Three-part Study of NMR Longitudinal Relaxation Properties of Water-saturated Sandstones, Society Petroleum Engineers, SPE-15643-PA.

Epstein, N., 1989: On Tortuosity and the Tortuosity Factor in Flow and Diffusion Through Porous Media, Chemical Engineering Science., 44, 777-779.

Carman, P. C., 1997: Fluid Flow Through Granular Beds, Process Safety and Environmental Protection: Transactions of the Institution of Chemical Engineers, Part B., 75, S32-S48.

Coates G.R., Miller, M., Gillen, M., Henderson, C., 1991: The MRIL in Conoco 33-1: An Investigation of a New Magnetic Resonance Imaging Log, Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPWLA-1991-DD.

Chen, Z., Wang, X., Jian, G., Zhang, L., Dong, P., Singer, P. M., & Hirasaki, G. J., 2020: Fast Permeability Estimation pf an Unconventional Formation Core by Transient-Pressure History Matching, Society Petroleum Engineers Journal.

Bernabé, Y.; Mok, U.; Evans, B., 2006: A Note on the Oscillating Flow Permeability. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences, 43, 311-316.

Carey, G. R.; McBean, E. A.; Feenstra, S., 2016: Estimating Tortuosity Coefficients Based on Hydraulic Conductivity. Groundwater, 54, 476-487.

Tinni, A.; Sondergeld, C.; Rai, C. New Perspectives on the Effects of Gas Adsorption on Storage and Production of Natural Gas from Shale Formations. 2018, 59, 99-104.

Singer, P. M.; Chen, Z.; Alemany, L. B.; Hirasaki, G. J.; Zhu, K.; Xie, Z. H. Z. H.; Vo, T. D. NMR Relaxation of Polymer-Alkane Mixes, A Model System for Crude Oils. SPWLA 58th Annual Logging Symposium 2017.

Kausik, R.; Fellah, K.; Rylander, E.; Singer, P. M.; Lewis, R. E.; Sinclair, S. M. NMR Relaxometry in Shale and Implications for Logging. Petrophysics 2016, 57, 339-350.

Hürlimann, M. D.; Freed, D. E.; Zielinski, L. J.; Song, Y. Q.; Leu, G.; Straley, C.; Minh, C. C.; Boyd, A. Hydrocarbon Composition from NMR Diffusion and Relaxation Data. Petrophysics 2009, 50, 116-129.

* cited by examiner

FIG. 1















FIG. 6









DETERMINATION OF FLUID-PHASE-SPECIFIC PETROPHYSICAL **PROPERTIES OF GEOLOGICAL CORE FOR OIL, WATER AND GAS PHASES**

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This patent application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 63/005,482 filed on Apr. 6, 10 2020, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

BACKGROUND AND RELATED ART

The present invention relates to petroleum reservoir fluid and petrophysical characterization. In particular, the present invention is useful for quantifying fluid phase-specific petrophysical properties (FPS-PP) of three different phases (water, oil and gas) of a subsurface formation using multi- 20 nuclear NMR restricted diffusion and automated centrifuge measurements of effluent volumes, those phase-specific petrophysical properties including tortuosity, pore size, body-to-throat ratio, porosity, permeability, and relative permeability curves for two specific phases.

The following invention is useful in the petroleum industry for determining several phase-specific petrophysical properties of a geological formation for three different phases: water, oil, and gas, in both conventional and unconventional formations. In petroleum reservoirs, there are 30 either two or three phases present in the pore space, i.e. water and oil, water and gas, or water plus oil and gas. The

2

different phases occupy different locations in the pore space of the formation. The permeability of a phase describes the flow rate of that fluid in a porous media with an applied pressure gradient. It is useful in evaluating reservoir quality 5 and productivity during primary, secondary and tertiary production. The determination of permeability is useful in deciding on casing, perforating, and whether to deploy a pump in a well. It is difficult to effectively measure permeability for each of three phases present in the formation using current methods. The following invention is a method to determine fluid phase-specific petrophysical properties for each of three separate fluid phases (water, oil and gas) in both conventional and unconventional formations using NMR restricted diffusion measurements with multiple 15 nuclei (i.e. ¹H, ¹⁹F) and automated centrifugation with effluent volume measurements. The fluid phase-specific petrophysical properties include tortuosity, pore size, bodyto-throat ratio, porosity, permeability, and relative permeability curves for two specific phases.

SUMMARY OF EMBODIMENTS

The summary of the invention follows for evaluating the properties of the three fluid phases in a core sample in this invention. The invention is a method of determining, for each of three different fluid phases (water, oil and gas), at least one fluid-phase-specific petrophysical property (FPS-PP) of geological core where FPS-PP_{WATER} is the FPS-PP for the water phase, FPS-PP_{OIL} is the FPS-PP for the oil phase, FPS-PP_{GAS} is the FPS-PP for the gas phase.

The steps of this invention are summarized in the following table.

Ste	p Action	FPS-PP
1	Clean and dry core:	
	100% saturated with air	
2	Routine core analysis:	k _{meas}
	Klinkenberg-corrected gas permeability (kmeas)	ϕ_T
	Total porosity (ϕ_T)	ρ _g
	Grain density (ρ_g)	ρ _b
	Bulk density (ρ_b)	
3	Saturate with brine to S _{w1} and measure ¹ H restricted diffusion NMR:	d
	100% saturated with brine (S_{w1})	ϕ_T
	D/D_0 versus L_D to get pore-body diameter (d) from S/V = 4/d and	ρ_{2w}
	diffusive tortuosity τ using the Padé fit, where D is measured	τ
	diffusion, D_0 is bulk diffusion, and $L_D = \sqrt{D_0 t_\Delta}$	
	is the bulk diffusion length at a diffusion evolution time of t_{Δ}	
	T_2 distribution to get ϕ_T and surface relaxivity for water (ρ_{2w})	
4	Electrical resistivity and absolute k at S _{w1}	m
	Measure electrical resistivity R _o	τ_e
	Measure or calculate R_w of the brine	k
	Use $R_o/R_w = 1/\phi_T^m$ to obtain m	d
	Calculate electrical tortuosity $\tau_e = \phi_T^{1-m}$ for water at S_{w1}	BTR
	Use absolute permeability (k), d, $\phi_{{\scriptscriptstyle T}}, \tau$ in the modified Carman-	
	Kozeny equation: $k = A \frac{\phi_T d^2}{32\tau BTR^2}$ or other relation to determine BTR.	
5	Centrifuge core (drainage cycle) to S for k	Measure
	Measure relative permeability of water (k,) with decreasing water	k vs S
	saturation (S.,.) from S., to irreducible water S., by history	$S_{m1} \rightarrow S_{min}$
	matching water production	-w1 -w1//
e	Electrical resistivity and tortuosity at S	n
	Measure resistivity R. at Sum	τ.
	Use $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{R}_n = 1/S_{max}^n$ to obtain n	e
	Calculate electric tortuosity $\tau_{-} = \phi_{T}^{1-m} S_{-}^{1-n}$ for water as a function	
	of S	
7	Measure ¹ H NMR at S	d
	To to get pore-body diameter (d \cdot) at S \cdot from S/V = 4/d \cdot	wirr
	using D ₂	
	0 T 2W	

-continued
-continucu

Step	Action	FPS-PP
	Predict k VS S	Predict
0	Calibrate k_{rw} (= k_w/k) relation using d_{wirr} , $\phi_T S_w$, τ_w , k based on	k_{rw} vs $S_w, S_{w1} \rightarrow S_{wirr}$
	Carman-Kozeny $k_w = A_w \frac{\phi_T S_w d_{wirr}^2}{22 - \mu_{mrr}^2}$ or other relation, by comparing	
	$32\tau_w BTR^2$	
	to centrifuge data in step 5	
9	Centrifuge core (drainage cycle) to S_{wirr} for k_{rw} using D_2O	Verify
	Saturate with D ₂ O	$K_{rw} \text{ vs } S_w,$ $S_{w1} \rightarrow S_{winn}$
	Centrifuge to air down to Swirr	w1 w1//
	Measure k_{rw} with decreasing S_w from $S_{w1} \rightarrow S_{wirr}$ by history matching fluid production	
	Verify step 5	
10	Saturate with C1 and measure ¹ H NMR:	τ_{hc}
11	D/D_0 versus L_D to get τ_{hc} for C1(D2O) at S_{wirr} using the Padé fit Saturate with C10 and measure ¹ H NMP:	at S _{wirr}
11	D/D_0 versus L_D to get pore-body diameter (d_{hc}) from S/V = 4/ d_{hc}	Φ_{hc}
	for C10(D2O) at Swirr using the Padé fit	ρ _{2hc}
12	T_2 to get ϕ_{hc} and surface relaxivity for C10 (ρ_{2hc}) Centrifuge core (drainage cycle) to S for k	
12	Centrifuge with air or nitrogen (drainage cycle) down to S_{or} at S_{wirr} .	Measure
	Measure relative permeability of oil k_{ro} (= k_o/k) with decreasing oil	drainage
	saturation (S_o) at S_{wirr} down to S_{or} by history matching C10 production. This simulates gas injection to displace oil or gravity	cycle k vs S
	drainage in a gas cap.	at S _{wirr}
12	Dealler to see 6	down to S _{or}
15	Calibrate k_{ro} vs S _o Calibrate k_{ro} at S _{cont} down to S _{cont} using $d_{tot} \phi_{tot} \tau_{tot} k$ based on	k vs S at S down to S
		ro
	Carman-Kozeny $k_a = A_a \frac{\phi_{hc} d_{hc}^2}{\phi_{hc}}$ or other relation, by comparing	
	$\frac{1}{32\tau_{hc}BTR^2}$	
	to centrifuge data in step 12	
14	Estimate the relative permeability ratio for oil and water	K
15	Estimate relative permeability ratio $K = k_r / k_{rw}$ Saturate with C10. Centrifuge with D.O in an imbibition cycle:	Measure
15	k_{ro} vs S _o in the imbibition cycle. This simulates a waterflood.	imbibition
16		cycle k _{ro} vs S _o
10	azeotrope to remove residual C10 and D_2O . Fully saturate with	
	D_2O . Centrifuge with C10 In drainage cycle to S_{wirr} . Centrifuge	
17	with air or nitrogen in drainage cycle to S_{or} and S_{wirr} .	~
17	NMR:	at Swirr & Sor
	D/D_0 versus $L_{\it D}$ to get $\tau_{\it g}$ for fluorocarbon gas at $S_{\it wirr}$ and $S_{\it or}$ using	
19	the Padé fit Release as pressure. Saturate with fluoreenthen oil and	d
10	measure ¹⁹ F NMR:	ϕ_{g}
	D/D_o versus L_D to get pore-body diameter (d_g) from $\mathrm{S}/\mathrm{V}=4/\mathrm{d}_g$	ρ_{2g}
	for fluorocarbon oil at $S_{wirr} \& S_{or}$ using the Padé fit	
19	Γ_2 to get ψ_g and sufface relaxivity for hubiocarbon on (p_{2g}) Centrifuge core in water (imbibition cycle) to S_{av} for k_{av}	Measure
	Centrifuge with water or D2O (imbibition cycle) down to S_{gr} at S_{or}	imbibition
	and S _{wirr}	cycle to
	incasure relative permeability of a nuorocarbon oil phase simulating gas $k_{}$ (=k Jk) with decreasing gas saturation (S) at S down to	water
	S_{gr} by history matching the fluorocarbon production.	k_{rg} vs S_{g} , at S_{or}
20	Predict \mathbf{k}_{rg} at \mathbf{S}_{or}	Predict
	Calibrate the relative permeability of gas (k_{rg}) at S_{or} using d_g , ϕ_g ,	K_{rg} at S_{or}
	τ_g , k based on Carman-Kozeny $k_g = A_g \frac{\phi_g d_g^2}{1 + 1 + 1 + 1}$ or other relation.	
	$= 32\tau_g BTR^*$	
21	Clean and dry core:	
~~	100% saturated with air	1
22	MICP and BTR Measure MICP (mercury injection capillary pressure) to obtain pore-	a _{micp} BTR
	throat diameter (d	

throat diameter (d_{MICP}) Determine BTR = d/d_{MICP} (body-throat ratio)

		1
-con	fini	ied

Step	Action	FPS-PP
23	Develop relation for relative permeability k_{rX} of each phase Develop relation for permeability k_{rX} (= k_X/k) of each of the three phases (X = w, o, g) as a function of the tortuosity (τ_X), porosity (ϕ_X), pore-body diameter (d_X) from S/V = 4/ d_X , and BTR based	$\begin{array}{l} k_{rX} \\ (X=w,o,g) \end{array}$
	on Carman–Kozeny $k_X = A_X \frac{\phi_X d_X^2}{32\tau_X BTR^2}$ or other relation.	

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1: ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectrometer and fluid saturation ¹⁵ equipment used in this invention.

FIG. 2: NMR pulse sequence used in this invention.

FIG. 3: Padé fits to D/D_o vs LE for two chalk cores from a well at depths of 913 m and 920 m.

FIG. 4: Permeabilities computed from this invention and ²⁰ using prior art compared with measured permeabilities for four chalk samples.

FIG. 5: Centrifuge sample cells used in drainage and imbibition experiments.

FIG. 6: Centrifuge relative permeability to oil (k_{ro}) vs oil saturation (S_o) data for a Berea sandstone (first drainage with decane/nitrogen).

FIG. 7: Fluorine index FI vs pressure for some fluorinated hydrocarbons.

FIG. 8: Bulk diffusion D_o versus pressure for some fluorinated hydrocarbons.

FIG. 9: Maximum diffusion length Lu versus pressure for some fluorinated hydrocarbons.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

Step 1: We begin with a core sample that is cleaned and dried. Soxhlet extraction with chloroform-methanol azeotrope may be used to clean out the hydrocarbons. At this ⁴⁰ stage, the core sample is fully saturated with air in the pore space. Soxhlet extraction with chloroform-methanol azeotrope is well known to one skilled in the art.

Step 2: A routine core analysis is performed for Klinkenberg-corrected gas permeability (k_{meas}), total porosity (ϕ_7), 45 grain density (ρ_q), and bulk density (ρ_b). Routine core analysis is well known to one skilled in the art.

Step 3: The core sample is then 100% saturated with NaCl brine (S_{w1}). ¹H NMR measurements of D/D₀ versus L_D and ⁵⁰ T₂ are made at S_{w1}. FIG. **1** shows a schematic of the NMR spectrometer with laboratory apparatus for fluid saturation used in this invention. The NMR spectrometer may have a probe that can be tuned to different NMR active nuclei, such as ¹H and ¹⁹F. The NMR spectrometer may have replaceable ⁵⁵ magnets for applying different magnetic field strengths. Typical NMR spectrometers are available from Oxford Instruments Ltd for 2 MHz, 20 MHz, 40 MHz, and 60 MHz.

FIG. **2** shows a Diffusion- T_2 (a.k.a. D- T_2) unipolar stimulated-echo pulse sequence (Tanner, 1970; Mitchell et al., 60 2014) used to measure restricted diffusion as a function of diffusion time t_{Δ} . Trapezoidal gradient encoding pulses G are shown with duration to (time from half-height to half-height) and ramp-time ε , along with 90° (thin) and 180° (thick) RF pulses of phase $\phi_{1,2,3,4,a}$, and CPMG echo trains 65 with echo-spacing $t_{E'}$. Not shown is a set of 6 "woodpecker" gradient pulses to stabilize the eddy currents before the first

RF pulse. More details can be found in the attached references (Chen et al 2017, Chen et al 2019a, Chen et al 2019 b, Wang et al 2020.

FIG. 3 shows diffusion length (L_D) against normalized restricted diffusivity (D/D_0) . The dots are the points from the 2D peak of the D-T₂ maps in the region C. Top: C4(D₂O) and C1(D₂O) are shown for 913 m (sample contains less bitumen). Bottom: C10 and C1(D₂O) are shown for 920 m (sample contains more bitumen). The solid black line is the best fit using the Padé equation. The dashed horizontal line shows the tortuosity limit. To do the parameter estimation, non-linear curve-fitting (Isqcurvefit) in MATLAB is applied. The loss function is the minimum square error on a log scale.

From these measurements, we can determine the total porosity (ϕ_T) from T₂, and the pore-body diameter (d) from the relation for cylindrical pores:



30

35

using the Padé fit on the D/D₀ versus L_D data, where D is measured diffusion, D₀ is bulk diffusion, and $L_D = \sqrt{D_0 t_\Delta}$ is the bulk diffusion length at a diffusion evolution time of t_Δ . Note that while the above relation for cylindrical pores is not required in the permeability relations, it is used throughout for convenience. It is on the other hand required when computing the BTR (body-throat ratio) from MICP data (see below) which assumes cylindrical pores.

Step 4: The electrical resistivity (R_o) is measured on the fully brine-saturated core. The electrical resistivity of the brine is also measured (R_w) or calculated from the NaCl concentration. The cementation exponent, m, can be measured using the formation factor from Archie's law:

$$\frac{R_o}{R_w} = \frac{1}{\phi_T^m}$$

where ϕ_T is the porosity measured in the previous steps (using either routine core analysis or NMR measurements at S_{w1}). The cementation exponent indicates how well-connected the pore geometry is. It is about m=2 for many rocks but can be higher for vuggy carbonates. This is well known to one skilled in the art.

The tortuosity (τ) of the water phase at S_{w1} can also be determined from the electrical resistivity measurements as is well known to one skilled in the art:

 $\tau = \phi_T^{1-m}$

The permeability is now obtained using an equation modified from the Carman-Kozeny equation, which assumes

10

15

45

50

parallel capillary tubes. The modified Carman-Kozeny equation for permeability (k) from d (where S/V=4/d), ϕ_T , τ , BTR:

$$k = A \frac{\phi_T d^2}{32\tau BTR^2}$$

where A is a free parameter to be calibrated by comparing to k_{meas}. FIG. 4 shows a core sample's estimated permeability versus its measured permeability using this invention versus using three older methods. This is explained in more detail in the attached references (Chen et al. 2020, Chen et al. 2019b, Chen et al. 2019a, Chen et al. 2017, Wang et al. 2020).

Another equation besides Carman-Kozeny can also be used. Other equations are listed in the attached references. Note that all the quantities in this equation are measured by 20 ing to centrifuge data in step 5. Another relation besides ¹H NMR or centrifuge, except BTR. Hence BTR may be determined from this data set.

Step 5: Next, the relative permeability of water k_{rw} $(=k_{w}/k)$ is determined with decreasing water saturation (S_{w}) 25 from S_{w1} to irreducible water S_{wirr} using a centrifuge drainage measurement. FIG. 5 shows centrifuge sample holders for drainage experiments and imbibition experiments [Hirasaki 1992}. Examples of a commercial automated centrifuge that can be used in this invention are available from Vinci 30 Technologies (RC4500). The core sample is placed in a centrifuge core holder and centrifuged to air (drainage cycle) at a high speed down to Swirr. The water in the core sample is replaced with gas (e.g. air, nitrogen) during centrifugation. As the core is centrifuged, the automated centrifuge mea- 35 sures the time dependence of the flow rate of effluent from the core. The fluid production versus time are monitored and then history-matched to determine the curve of k_{w} versus S_{w} , as outlined in [Hirasaki 1992] and [Hirasaki 1995]. One advantage of the centrifuge measurement is that it is gravity- $\ ^{40}$ stable; that is, no viscous fingering occurs. Another advantage is that extremely small values of k_{rw} can be measured. This is useful for determining k_{rw} at endpoint saturations, i.e. at residual oil saturation (S_{or}) or at S_{wirr} .

Step 6: At irreducible water saturation, the electrical resistivity of the core sample is measured (R_t) . The saturation exponent, n, is measured using the resistivity index from Archie's law:

$$\frac{R_t}{R_o} = \frac{1}{S_w^n}$$

where S_w is the water saturation (this should be irreducible water saturation Swirr). The value for n indicates how the fluids affect the resistivity of the rock. In water-wet conventional rocks, it is common for n to be around n≈2. In mixed-wet and oil-wet rocks, n is much higher n>2 at lower 60 S_w . In shaly-sands, n may be lower n<2 as S_w decreases.

The tortuosity of the water phase at irreducible saturation can be determined using:

$$\tau_w = \phi_T^{1-m} S_w^{1-n}$$

assuming that Archie's Law is valid.

Step 7: ¹H NMR T₂ measurements are made at S_{wirr} to get pore-body diameter (d_{wirr}) from S/V=⁴/d_{wirr} using the previously determined ρ_{2w} at S_{w1} as such:

$$\frac{1}{T_2} = \rho_{2w} \frac{S}{V} = \rho_{2w} \frac{4}{d_{win}}$$

This equation assumes the fast-diffusion regime, which is typically the case.

Step 8: The relative permeability of water k_{rw} is predicted using d_{wirr} , $\phi_T S_w$, τ_w , k based on Carman-Kozeny relation:

$$k_w = A_w \frac{\phi_T S_w d_{wirr}^2}{32\tau_w BTR^2}$$

where A_{w} is a free parameter to be calibrated by compar-Carman-Kozeny can also be used.

Step 9: The core sample is cleaned and dried and then saturated with heavy water brine (e.g. D₂O with NaCl matching in situ brine concentration). The reason D_2O is used is that there is no ¹H NMR signal from D_2O , therefore the only ¹H NMR signal that will later be detected is from the hydrocarbons. The core is centrifuged to air down to Swirr using the apparatus in FIG. 5. The fluid production and saturation are monitored and then history matched (Hirasaki et al 1992 and 1995) to determine the k_{rw} . The k_{rw} using D_2O brine can be checked against k_{rw} estimated from the centrifugation of the H_2O brine-saturated core in step 5.

Step 10: The core sample is saturated with high-pressure methane (C1), and ¹H NMR measurements are made using the sample, where only the hydrocarbon phase is detectable. Restricted diffusivity D/D_0 versus L_D measurements are made to get τ_{hc} for C1(D₂O) at S_{wirr} using the Padé fit. C1 has a large diffusion coefficient D_0 , therefore a large L_D . If L_D >>d then τ_{hc} can be determined from the Padé fit.

Step 11: The C1 is allowed to evaporate, and the resulting air-filled porosity is then replaced by with decane (C10). ¹H NMR D/D₀ versus L_D measurements are made to get porebody diameter (d_{hc}) from S/V=4/ d_{hc} for C10(D₂O) saturated cores at \mathbf{S}_{wirr} using the Padé fit. C10 has a small diffusion coefficient D_0 , therefore a small L_D . If $L_D \le d$ then the d_{hc} can be determined from the Padé fit. T₂ measurements are also made to get the C10-filled porosity (ϕ_{hc}) and surface relaxivity (ρ_{2hc}) for C10.

Step 12: The core is centrifuged in drainage cycle using air or nitrogen down to Sor at Swirr. The relative permeability of oil k_{ro} (= k_o/k) is measured with decreasing oil saturation (S) at S_{wirr} down to S_{or} by history matching C10 production. An example is shown in FIG. 6 for a Berea sandstone with n-decane being displaced by nitrogen (first drainage), where k_{ro} (=k_o/k) is measured versus So down to $k_{ro}=10^{-7}$.

Step 13: The relative permeability to oil k_{ro} at S_{wirr} down to S_{or} is predicted using d_{hc} , ϕ_{hc} , τ_{hc} , k based on the modified Carman-Kozeny relation:

$$k_o = A_o \frac{\phi_{hc} d_{hc}^2}{32 \tau_{hc} BTR^2}$$

where A₀ is a free parameter calibrated by comparing to 65 the centrifuge data in step 12. Another relation besides Carman-Kozeny can also be used.

10

15

25

50

60

Step 14: The relative permeability ratio (K) between oil and water can be estimated by taking the ratio of the oil relative permeability (k_{ro}) to the water relative permeability (\mathbf{k}_{rw}) :

$$K=\frac{k_{ro}}{k_{rw}}$$

Step 15: The core is saturated with decane (C10) and then centrifuged with D_2O in an imbibition cycle to measure k_{ro} vs So. This simulates a waterflood.

Step 16: The core is cleaned using Soxhlet extraction with chloroform-methanol azeotrope to remove the residual C10 and D_2O . The core is dried and then fully saturated with D_2O . The core is centrifuged with decane in a drainage cycle to S_{wirr} . The core is then centrifuged with air or nitrogen in a drainage cycle to S_{or} and S_{wirr} . The core sample at this 20 stage should resemble the core sample at the end of step 12.

Step 17: In order to simulate a third phase (gas), the core at S_{ar} is then saturated with high-pressure fluorinated gas that is insoluble in water. This fluorinated gas is the analog of methane (CH₄) used for measuring hydrocarbon-filled tortuosity. The fluorocarbon gas will be selected based on large D_0 and therefore large L_D , as well as large FI (fluorine index) for high SNR (signal-to-noise ratio); see below.

At this stage, the core has three phases in the pore space: 30 D_2O brine at S_{wirr} (most likely in the smallest pores if the sample is water wet), residual C10 at S_{ar} , and fluorocarbon gas. ¹⁹F NMR D/D₀ versus L_D measurements are made to probe the large L_D region of the D/D₀ versus L_D curve in order to measure the tortuosity of the gas phase (τ_o) , using ³⁵ the Padé fit.

Step 18: The fluorocarbon gas is evacuated from the core by releasing the gas pressure and the core is then saturated with a fluorocarbon liquid that is insoluble in both oil and 40 water. ¹⁹F NMR measurements of D/D_0 versus L_D are made for the short L_D region of the D/D₀ versus L_D curve to measure the pore-body diameter of the gas phase (d_g) from S/V=4/d_g at S_{wirr} & S_{or}, using the Padé fit. T₂ measurements will also be made to get the porosity (q) of the gas phase, as 45 well as the surface relaxivity ($\rho_{2,q}$).

Step 19: The core is centrifuged with water in an imbibition cycle down to S_{gr} at S_{or} . The relative permeability of gas is measured $(k_{rg} = k_g/k)$ with decreasing gas saturation (S_g) at S_{or} down to S_{gr} by history matching the fluorocarbon production.

Step 20: The relative permeability to gas (k_{re}) at S_{or} is predicted using d_{e} , ϕ_{e} , τ_{e} , k based on the modified Carman-Kozeny relation: 55

$$k_g = A_g \frac{\phi_g d_g^2}{32\tau_g BTR^2}$$

where A_{o} is a free parameter to be calibrated from the data set. Another relation besides Carman-Kozeny can also be used.

Step 21: The core sample is then evacuated, cleaned by Soxhlet extraction, and dried.

Step 22: A MICP (mercury injection capillary pressure) measurement is made to obtain pore-throat diameter (d_{MICP}), as well as BTR (body-throat ratio):

 $BTR = \frac{d}{d_{MICP}}$

where d is the pore-body diameter from Step 3.

Step 23: Using the data collected from the laboratory analysis above, we then calculate a relationship between the relation for permeability k_{rX} (= k_X/k) of each of the three phases (X=w, o, g) as a function of the tortuosity (τ_x) , porosity (ϕ_X) , pore-body diameter (d_X) from S/V=4/d_X, and BT R based on the modified Carman-Kozeny:

$$k_X = A_X \frac{\phi_X d_X^2}{32\tau_X BTR^2}$$
 where $X = w, o, g$

It is within the scope of this invention to use another relationship besides the modified Carman-Kozeny equation to determine the permeability.

One skilled in the art can construct a three-phase relative permeability model from the oil/water relative permeability curve and the oil/gas relative permeability curve using linear interpolation.

Alternative to D₂O for ¹H Free Aqueous Phase

The above methodology uses D_2O brine to produce a ¹H free aqueous phase, thereby leaving only the hydrocarbon phase detectable by ¹H NMR. However, D_2O is costly, and it can take a long time to exchange H₂O for D₂O in tight low-porosity rocks.

An alternative is to exchange the H₂O brine with H₂O brine doped with paramagnetic ions, thereby separating the ¹H signal in the aqueous phase from the ¹H signal in the hydrocarbon phase. Some common paramagnetic ions used in NMR include Mn-EDTA, Gd-EDTA, MnCl₂, or GdCl₃, which are all readily available. In sufficient concentrations, the paramagnetic ions shorten the bulk relaxation times of the aqueous phase to $T_1 \approx T_2 \approx 1$ ms, thereby distinguishing it from the hydrocarbon phase. At even higher paramagnetic ion concentrations, the ¹H signal can be made shorter than the echo spacing of $t_{E} \approx 0.1$ ms, thereby making the aqueous phase undetectable.

Yet another alternative to diffusing in the D₂O brine or Mn-EDTA brine, a faster route is to do a gravity-stable fluid displacement through the core.

Other NMR active nuclei may also be used in the practice of this invention, including ²³Na in the NaCl brine, and ²H (deuterium NMR) in the D_2O and ${}^{13}C$ in the hydrocarbons. Also, per-deuterated versions of the hydrocarbons may also be used. However, these other NMR active nuclei have lower signal-to-noise ratio either because of lower gyromagnetic ratios or lower isotopic abundance, or both. ¹H and ¹⁹F have the highest signal-to-noise ratio and it is easy to retune the NMR probe between ¹H and ¹⁹F. Higher magnetic fields would improve the signal-to-noise ratio of these lower sensitivity nuclei for the practice of this invention.

¹⁹F may also be used in the brine phase by adding NaF or CaF₂ salt or another fluorinated salt dissolved in the brine.

Another embodiment is to use the fluorocarbon oil instead of the hydrocarbon oil (decane), and in this case, use H_2O instead of D₂O. In this embodiment, ¹H NMR provides the information about the water phase, and ¹⁹F provides the information on the non-wetting "oil" phase.

Choice of Fluorocarbon Gas for ¹⁹F NMR

The choice of fluorocarbon gas is selected based on large D_0 , and therefore large L_D , as well as large FI (fluorine index) for high SNR (signal-to-noise ratio). Another optimization is the pressure and temperature of the experiment. Higher pressure increases FI but decreases D_0 , while higher temperature decreases FI but increases D₀. Increasing temperature from 30 C to 100 C reduces the SNR by $\approx \frac{1}{2}$ due to lower FI and the Boltzmann factor, with only a mild increase in L).

The FI are determined by first computing the number density of ¹⁹F nuclei (N_{19}) as such:

$$N_{19}=\frac{\rho n_{19}N_A}{M_W}$$

where ρ is the gas density, Mw is the molecular weight, N_A is Avogadro's number, and n_{19} is the number of ${}^{19}F$ 20 nuclei per formula-unit. The FI is then divided by the number density of ¹H nuclei for water $N_1 = 66.7$ ¹H/nm³ as such:

$$FI = \frac{N_{19}}{N_1} \frac{\gamma^{Fl}}{\gamma^H}$$

where $\gamma^{Fl}/\gamma^{H}=0.94$ is the ratio of gyromagnetic ratios for $_{30}$ ¹⁹F compared to ¹H.

Due to the lack of published measurements, the molecular diffusion for the gases is predicted using kinetic theory of gases:

$$D_0\approx \frac{\mu}{P}\frac{RT}{M_W}$$

where μ is the dynamic viscosity of the gas, P is pressure, 40 R is the ideal gas constant, and T is absolute temperature. The measured value $D_0 \approx 250 \ \mu m^2/ms$ for CH₄ at 30° C. and 1200 psia is close to kinetic theory $D_0 \approx 245 \ \mu m^2/ms$, which justifies the above expression in the low-density regime.

FIG. 6 shows the FI for the fluorocarbon gases as a 45 function of P for the accessible laboratory pressure, all at 30° C. Only data in the vapor phase or supercritical phase are shown, i.e. not in the liquid phase, since the vapor phase is of interest for large D₀. The CHF₃ has a maximum FI≈0.11 in the vapor phase at 700 psia, while SF_6 has a maximum 50 FI≈0.09 in the vapor phase at 400 psia, which are lower than $HI \approx 0.14$ for CH_4 at 1200 psia in [Chen 2019]. CF_4 has a larger FI≈0.15 at 1200 psia, which increases with increasing P in the vapor phase.

FIG. 7 shows D_0 for the fluorocarbon gases as a function 55 of P for the typically accessible laboratory pressure, all at 30° C. At the largest vapor pressure of 700 psia, CHF₃ has a $D_0 \approx 160 \ \mu m^2/ms$. At the largest vapor pressure of 400 psia, SF₆ has a $D_0 \approx 110 \ \mu m^2/ms$. Meanwhile, CF₄ has a $D_0 \approx 90$ μ m²/ms at 1200 psia, which is lower than for CH₄ where ₆₀ $D_0 \approx 250 \ \mu m^2/ms$ at 1200 psia.

Of more interest than D_0 is the maximum diffusion length L_D possible for each gas. FIG. 9 shows the dependence of maximum L_D versus P for the fluorocarbon gases. Also shown for comparison is $L_D = \sqrt{D_0 t_\Delta}$ for CH₄, where the 65 maximum diffusion-evolution time is taken to be $t_{A}=110$ ms. The maximum t_{Δ} is a consequence of decay due to $T_1 \approx 150$

ms surface relaxation for the wetting hydrocarbon gas [Chen 2019]. As such, the maximum is $L_D \approx 160 \,\mu\text{m}$ for CH₄ at 1200 psia.

On the other hand, it is expected the fluorocarbon gas is non-wetting and therefore has no surface relaxation, i.e. it exhibits only bulk relaxation. A bulk relaxation time of T₁≈1000 ms is loosely expected for the fluorocarbon gases, which is based on spin-rotation relaxation for bulk CH₄ and the presence of dissolved oxygen. As such, one can expect a maximum $t_{\Lambda} \approx 550$ ms for the fluorocarbon gases, which increases the maximum L_D. At the largest vapor pressure of 700 psia, CHF₃ has a maximum L_D 300 µm. At the largest vapor pressure of 400 psia, SF₆ has a maximum $L_D \approx 250 \,\mu m$. Meanwhile, CF_4 has a maximum of L_D 220 µm at 1200 psia. All three fluorocarbon gases have larger maximum L_D than

 CH_4 due to larger accessible t_4 .

The results are listed as such:

TABLE 1

Summary of results for the three most promising fluorocarbon gases, and CH_4 for comparison.						
Fluoro- carbon	T (° C.)	P (psia)	FI	D ₀ (µm²/ms)	t _∆ (ms) maximum	L _D (m) maximum
CHF ₃	30	700*	0.11	160	550	300
SF ₆	30	400*	0.09	110	550	250
CF_4	30	1200	0.15	90	550	220
CH_4	30	1200	0.14 * *	250	110	160

*maximum pressure of vapor phase

**HT

25

35

The optimal fluorocarbon gas at operating pressures above P>500 psia is CF_4 since FI is the largest, and D_i (or L_D) are comparable to the other fluorocarbon gases. CF_4 also has the potential to go to higher pressures, which increases FI without significantly decreasing D_0 (or L_D).

The optimal fluorocarbon gas at operating pressures below P<500 psia is SF₆ since FI and D₀ (or L_D) at P \approx 400 psia are comparable to CF₄ at P≈1000 psia. Choice of Fluorocarbon Liquids for ¹⁹F NMR

The optimal fluorocarbon liquid is 3M Fluorinert Electronic Liquid FC-770. A list of relevant properties for FC-770 at 25° C. are given in 2.

TABLE 2

List of selected properties of 3M Fluorinert FC-770 at 25° C.					
Properties at 25° C.	Fluorinert FC-770				
Average molecular weight	399 (g/mol)				
Boiling point (@ 1 atm)	95 (° C.)				
Liquid density	1.793 (g/cm ³)				
Vapor pressure	0.953 (psi)				
Dynamic viscosity	1.359 (cP)				
Water solubility	14 (ppmw)				
Solubility in water	1.3 (ppmw)				
Interfacial tension with air	14.8 (dyne/cm)				
Interfacial tension with brine	31.6 (dyne/cm)				
Interfacial tension with n-decane	6.2 (dyne/cm)				

The present invention has been described using detailed descriptions of embodiments thereof that are provided by way of example and are not intended to limit the scope of the invention. The described embodiments comprise different features, not all of which are required in all embodiments of the invention. Some embodiments of the present invention utilize only some of the features or possible combinations of the features. Variations of embodiments of the present

25

30

invention that are described and embodiments of the present invention comprising different combinations of features noted in the described embodiments will occur to persons skilled in the art.

REFERENCES

ATR Rock Catalog

- Archie, G. E., 2003: The Electrical Resistivity Log as an Aid in Determining Some Reservoir Characteristics. SPE Reprint Series., 146, 9-16.
- Bernabé, Y.; Mok, U.; Evans, B., 2006: A Note on the Oscillating Flow Permeability. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences., 43, 311-316.
- Carey, G. R.; McBean, F. A.; Feenstra, S., 2016: Estimating 15 Tortuosity Coefficients Based on Hydraulic Conductivity. Groundwater., 54, 476-487.
- Carman, P. C., 1997: Fluid flow through granular beds. Process Safety and Environmental Protection: Transac-S32-S48.
- Chen, Z.; Singer, P. M.; Kuang, J.; Vargas, F. M.; Hirasaki, G. J., 2017: Effects of bitumen extraction on the 2D NMR response of saturated kerogen isolates. Petrophysics., 58, 470-484.
- Chen, Z., Singer, P. M., Wang, X., Vinegar, H. J., Nguyen, S. V., Hirasaki, G. J., "NMR Evaluation of Light-Hydrocarbon Composition, Pore Size, and Tortuosity in Organic-Rich Chalks", Petrophysics 60 (06), 771-797, 2019a
- Chen, Z., Singer, P. M., Wang, X., Hirasaki, G. J., Vinegar, H. J., "Evaluation of Light Hydrocarbon Composition, Pore Size, and Tortuosity in Organic-Rich Chalks using NMR Core Analysis and Logging", Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPWLA-2019-K, 35 Souza, A., Carneiro, G., Zielinski, L., Polinski, R., 2019b
- Chen, Z., Wang, X., Jian, G., Zhang, L., Dong, P., Singer, P. M., & Hirasaki, G. J., 2020. Fast Permeability Estimation of an Unconventional Formation Core by Transient-Pressure History Matching. SPE Journal 2020 40
- Coates G. R., Miller, M., Gillen, M., Henderson, C., "The MRIL in Conoco 33-1 an Investigation of a New Magnetic Resonance Imaging Log", Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPWLA-1991-DD, 1991
- Dullien, F. A. L., "Porous Media Fluid Transport and Pore 45 Structure", Academic Press, 1979, pp 224-225.
- Epstein, N., 1989: On tortuosity and the tortuosity factor in flow and diffusion through porous media. Chemical Engineering Science., 44, 777-779.
- Glover, P. W. J., 2016: Archie's law-A reappraisal. Solid 50 Earth., 7, 1157-1169.
- Hirasaki, G. J., Rohan, J. A., Dudley, J. W., "Modification of Centrifuge and Software for Determination of Relative Permeability Curves", SPE 25290, August, 1992.
- Hirasaki, G. J., Rohan, J. A., Dudley, J. W., "Interpretation 55 of Oil-Water Relative Permeabilities from Centrifuge Experiments". SPE Advanced Technology Series, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 66-75, 1995.
- Hurlimann, M. D.; Helmer, K. G.; Latour, L. L.; Sotak, C. H., 1994: Restricted Diffusion in Sedimentary Rocks. 60 Determination of Surface-Area-to-Volume Ratio and Surface Relaxivity. Journal of Magnetic Resonance, Series A., 111, 169-178.
- Kenyon, W. E., Day, P. I., Straley, C., Willemsen, J. F., "A three-part study of NMR longitudinal relaxation proper- 65 ties of water-saturated sandstones", Society Petroleum Engineers, SPE-15643-PA, 1988

- Latour, L. L.; Mitra, P. P.; Kleinberg, R. L.; Sotak, C. H., 1993: Time-Dependent Diffusion Coefficient of Fluids in Porous Media as a Probe of Surface-to-Volume Ratio. Journal of Magnetic Resonance-Series A., 101, 342-346.
- Lo, S. W.; Hirasaki, G. J.; House, W. V.; Kobayashi, R., 2002: Mixing rules and correlations of NMR relaxation time with viscosity, diffusivity, and gas/oil ratio of methane/hydrocarbon mixtures. SPE Journal., 7, 24-34.
- 10 Mitchell, J.; Gladden, L. F.; Chandrasekera, T. C.; Fordham, E. J., 2014: Low-field permanent magnets for industrial process and quality control. Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy., 76, 1-60.
 - Mitra, P. P.; Sen, P. N., 1992: Effects of microgeometry and surface relaxation on NMR pulsed-field-gradient experiments: Simple pore geometries. Physical Review B., 45, 143-156.
 - Monicard, R. P., 1980: Properties of Reservoir Rocks: Core Analysis. Springer Netherlands.
- tions of the Institution of Chemical Engineers, Part B., 75, 20 Muller-Huber, E., Schon, J., Borner, F., "A Pore Body-Pore Throat-Based Capillary Approach for NMR Interpretation in Carbonate Rocks using the Coates Equation", Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts 2016
 - Sander, R.; Pan, Z.; Connell, L. D., 2017: Laboratory measurement of low permeability unconventional gas reservoir rocks: A review of experimental methods. Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering., 37, 248-279.
 - Singer, P.; Chen, Z.; Hirasaki, G., 2016: Fluid Typing and Pore Size in Organic Shale Using 2D NMR in Saturated Kerogen Isolates. Petrophysics., 57, 604-619.
 - Singer, J. M., Johnston, L., Kleinberg, R. L., Flaum, C., "Fast NMR Logging for Bound Fluid and Permeability", Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPWLA-1997-YY, 1997
 - Schwartz, L., Hürlimann, M. D., Boyd, A., Rios, E. H., Camilo dos Santos, B. C., Trevizan, W. A., Machado, F. V., Azeredo, R. B. V., "Permeability Prediction Improvement using 2D NMR Diffusion-T2 Maps", Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPW LA-2013-U, 2013
 - Straley, C., Rossini, D., Vinegar, H. J., Tutunjian, P., Morriss, C., "Core Analysis by Low-field NMR", Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts, SPWLA-1997v38n2a3, 1997
 - Tanner, J. E., 1970: Use of the stimulated echo in nmr diffusion studies. The Journal of Chemical Physics., 52, 2523-2526.
 - Timur, R., "Pulsed nuclear magnetic resonance studies of porosity, movable fluid, and permeability of sandstones", Society Petroleum Engineers, SPE-2045-PA, 1969
 - Venkataramanan, L.; Song, Y. Q.; Hürlimann, M. D., 2002: Solving Fredholm integrals of the first kind with tensor product structure in 2 and 2.5 dimensions. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing., 50, 1017-1026.
 - Wang, X., Singer, P. M., Chen, Z., Hirasaki, G. J., Vinegar, H. J., "A New Method of Estimating Tortuosity and Pore Size in Unconventional Formations using NMR Restricted Diffusion Measurements" Society of Petrophysicists and Well-Log Analysts 2020
 - Wyllie, M. R. J.; Rose, W. D., 1950: Some Theoretical Considerations Related To The Quantitative Evaluation Of The Physical Characteristics Of Reservoir Rock From Electrical Log Data. Journal of Petroleum Technology., 2, 105-118.
 - Yang, K.; Li, M.; Ling, N. N. A.; May, E. F.; Connolly, P. R. J.; Esteban, L.; Clennell, M. B.; Mahmoud, M.; El-

Husseiny, A.; Adebayo, A. R.; Elsayed, M. M.; Johns, M. L., 2019: Quantitative Tortuosity Measurements of Carbonate Rocks Using Pulsed Field Gradient NMR. Transport in Porous Media., 130, 847-865.

- Zecca, M.; Vogt, S. J.; Connolly, P. R. J.; May, E. F.; Johns, M. L., 2018: NMR Measurements of Tortuosity in Partially Saturated Porous Media. Transport in Porous Media., 125, 271-288.
- Zielinski, L.; Ramamoorthy, R.; Minh, C. C.; Al Daghar, K.
 A.; Sayed, R. H.; Abdelaal, A. F., 2010: Restricted Diffusion Effects in Saturation Estimates From 2D Diffusion-Relaxation NMR Maps. Society of Petroleum Engineers.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of determining, for each of three different ¹⁵ fluid phases (water, oil and gas), a set of one or more fluid-phase-specific petrophysical properties (FPS-PP) of geological core where FPS-PP_{*WATER*} is the FPS-PP for the water phase, FPS-PP_{*OIL*} is the FPS-PP for the oil phase, FPS-PP_{*GAS*} is the FPS-PP for the gas phase, where the FPS-PP is selected from a FPS-PP group which is defined below, the method comprising:

- a. subjecting the geological core to NMR restricted diffusion measurements for multiple NMR active nuclei with at least two different hydrocarbons for at least the oil and gas phases, and
- b. centrifuging the geological core over multiple drainage or imbibition cycles so as to produce effluent from the geological core;
- c. as the geological core is centrifuged, measuring a time 30 dependence of a flow rate of effluent from the geological core;
- d. computing, from results of the NMR restricted diffusion measurements and from the time dependence of the flow rate of effluent, all of (i) FPS-PP_{WATER}, (ii) 35 FPS-PPOIL and (iii) FPS-PPGAS, wherein the FPS-PP group is defined as the group consisting of: (A) a fluid-phase-specific tortuosity value; (B) a fluid-phasespecific porosity value, the fluid-phase-specific porosity being defined as a fraction of a total pore volume 40 which is occupied by a specific phase (oil, water or gas); (C) a fluid-specific-phase pore diameter, the fluidspecific-phase pore diameter being defined as a pore diameter which is occupied by the specific phase (oil, water, or gas); (D) a fluid-phase-specific body-to-throat 45 ratio, the fluid-phase-specific body-to-throat ratio being defined as a body-to-throat ratio of respective throat and pore volumes which are occupied by the specific phase (oil, water or gas); (E) a fluid-phase-specific permeability, the fluid-phase-specific permeability being defined as the permeability of a specific phase

(oil, water, or gas); (F) a fluid-phase-specific relative permeability curve for two specific phases.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the set of one or more petrophysical properties comprises; (A) 3 phase-specific tortuosity values, one each of the 3 fluid-phases; (B) phase specific pore diameter values, one for each of the 3 fluid-phases where a pore diameter value for a given fluid-phase is defined as the pore diameter occupied by that fluid-phase; (C) fluid-specific-phase body-to-throat ratio, the fluid-specific-phase body-to-throat ratio, the fluid-phases; (D) fluid-phase-specific porosity values, the fluid-phase-specific porosity being defined as the fraction of total pore volume occupied by the specific phase (oil, water or gas); (E) fluid-phases; and (F) fluid-phase-specific relative permeability curves for two specific phases.

3. The method of claim **1** where the NMR restricted diffusion measurements utilize a $D-T_2$ method.

4. The method of claim **3** wherein a Padé fit is used with restricted diffusion data of the NMR restricted diffusion measurements for any fluid phase to determine both a fluid-phase-specific surface-to-volume ratio and the fluid-phase-specific tortuosity value for that fluid phase.

5. The method of claim 1 wherein the multiple NMR active nuclei comprise 1 H and 19 F.

6. The method of claim 1 wherein the water phase comprises D_2O .

7. The method of claim 1 wherein the gas phase is simulated using at least one fluorinated hydrocarbon.

8. The method of claim **7** wherein the gas phase is simulated using Fluorinert FC-770.

9. The method of claim 7 wherein the gas phase is simulated using SF_6 .

10. The method of claim 7 wherein at least one of the fluorinated hydrocarbons comprises $CF_xH_yCl_z$ where x and y and z are integers between 0 and 3.

11. The method of claim 1 wherein the multiple NMRactive nuclei comprise 23 Na and 2 H.

12. The method of claim 1, further comprising determining one or more locations in accordance with all of FPS-PP_{WATER}, FPS-PP_{OIL}, and FPS-PP_{GAS}, and drilling one or more horizontal or vertical wells in accordance with one or more of the determined locations.

13. The method of claim **1**, further comprising determining one or more locations in accordance with all of FPS-PP_{WATER}, FPS-PP_{OIL}, and FPS-PP_{GAS}, and performing at least one additional operation in accordance with one or more of the determined locations, wherein the at least one additional operation includes at least one of: (i) caping and perforating; and (ii) deploying a pump.

* * * * *